IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EVELINE HENRIETTE ROSENBERRY,	Case No. CV10-02830-JF-HRL
Plaintiff, v. CREDIT PROTECTION ASSOCIATION, L.P., a Texas limited partnership; and ETAN GENERAL, INC., a Delaware corporation,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendants.	
Counsel report that they have met and ostipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and AD	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following R L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	g ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	n (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
more likely to meet their needs that any other	ment conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably her form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone by must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone DR L.R. 3-5.)
Private Process:	
Private ADR (please ide.	ntify process and provider)
referring the case to an ADR pr	e (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order ocess unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: October 1, 2010	/s/ Fred W. Schwinn Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: October 1, 2010	/s/ David I. Dalby Attorney for Defendants

[PROPOSED] ORDER

	Pursuant to th	e Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
		Non-binding Arbitration
		Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
	\Box	Mediation
		Private ADR
	Deadline for A	ADR session
		90 days from the date of this order.
		other
	IT IS SO OR	DERED.
	10/5/10	
Dated:	10/5/10	
		UNITED STATES
		DISTRICT/ MAGISTRATE JUDGE